UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,492	06/25/2003	Dennis D. Palmer	2452-001	5672
	7590 03/17/200 TH & WESTERN, LL	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1219			CROW, STEPHEN R	
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/606,492	PALMER, DENNIS D.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steve R. Crow	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 21,22,30 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 23-29 and 31 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be considered as a constant of the constan	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/606,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 15 recites "a horizontal axle" which should be changed to –said horizontal axle—

2. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 21,22,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (833) in view of Chang (175).

Chen discloses an exercise device for use in a stepping exercise, comprising: an exerciser base, further comprising:

- (1) a central base support 13;
- (2) a stabilizing support 12;

a rotative body 14, coaxial with the vertical axle and having a generally horizontally extending axle 37; a pair of footpad arms 32, attached to the horizontally extending axle, one on each side of

the rotative body; a pair of foot pads 34 attached to the foot pad arms, the foot pads being configured to

receive a user's feet in the stepping exercise; a pair of linkages 312 each having joints disposed at each end of each linkage and attached

individually to a footpad arm and interfaced with the exerciser base at a point above the horizontal axle; and wherein the footpad arms and footpads are actuated in a vertical manner and thereby

move the linkages with the footpad arms and rotate the linkages with the rotative body.

Chen does not disclose a single upwardly extending vertical axle, extending from the central base support.

Chang (175) teaches a single upwardly extending vertical axle 14, extending from the central base support to support the rotative body 414.

Clearly, the Chen device's rotative body requires a support to permit rotation thereon, and therefore, if not inherent in Chen it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize a vertical axle as taught by Chang for supporting the rotative body.

Art Unit: 3764

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 23-29 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Max Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on 571-272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3764